



## **Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme Analytical Report 14: 'Full Measures' Survey 2021**

**North Tyneside Council**



**December 2021**

## Contents

Disclaimer .....	i
Abbreviations and Acronyms.....	ii
Water Levels Used in Interpretation of Changes .....	ii
Glossary of Terms.....	iii
Preamble .....	iv
1. Introduction.....	9
1.1 Study Area .....	9
1.2 Methodology.....	9
2. Analysis of Survey Data .....	10
2.1 Whitley Sands .....	10
2.2 Cullercoats Bay .....	12
2.3 Tynemouth Long Sands .....	13
2.4 King Edward's Bay .....	15
3. Problems Encountered and Uncertainty in Analysis .....	16
4. Recommendations for 'Fine-tuning' the Monitoring Programme .....	16
5. Conclusions and Areas of Concern .....	16

## Appendices

Appendix A	Beach Profiles
Appendix B	Topographic Survey

## List of Figures

Figure 1	Sediment Cells in England and Wales
Figure 2	Survey Locations

## List of Tables

Table 1	Analytical, Update and Overview Reports Produced to Date
Table 2	Sub-division of the Cell 1 Coastline

Authors	
Alix Scullion	Royal HaskoningDHV
Dr Nick Cooper – Review & Approval	Royal HaskoningDHV

## Disclaimer

Royal HaskoningDHV has prepared this report in accordance with the instructions of our client Scarborough Borough Council (SBC) for the client's sole and specific use. Any other persons who use any information contained herein do so at their own risk. Royal HaskoningDHV has used reasonable skill, care and diligence in the interpretation of data provided to them and accepts no responsibility for the content, quality or accuracy of any Third party reports, monitoring data or further information provided either to them by SBC or, via SBC from a Third party source, for analysis under this term contract.

Data and reports collected as part of the Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme are available to download via the North East Coastal Observatory via the webpage:  
[www.northeastcoastalobservatory.org.uk](http://www.northeastcoastalobservatory.org.uk).

The North East Coastal Observatory does not "license" the use of images or data or sign license agreements. The North East Coastal Observatory generally has no objection to the reproduction and use of these materials (aerial photography, wave data, beach surveys, bathymetric surveys, reports), subject to the following conditions:

1. North East Coastal Observatory material may not be used to state or imply the endorsement by North East Coastal Observatory or by any North East Coastal Observatory employee of a commercial product, service, or activity, or used in any manner that might mislead.
2. North East Coastal Observatory should be acknowledged as the source of the material in any use of images and data accessed through this website, please state "Image/Data courtesy of North East Coastal Observatory". We recommend that the caption for any image and data published includes our website, so that others can locate or obtain copies when needed. We always appreciate notification of beneficial uses of images and data within your applications. This will help us continue to maintain these freely available services. Send e-mail to [Robin.Siddle@scarborough.gov.uk](mailto:Robin.Siddle@scarborough.gov.uk)
3. It is unlawful to falsely claim copyright or other rights in North East Coastal Observatory material.
4. North East Coastal Observatory shall in no way be liable for any costs, expenses, claims, or demands arising out of the use of North East Coastal Observatory material by a recipient or a recipient's distributees.
5. North East Coastal Observatory does not indemnify nor hold harmless users of North East Coastal Observatory material, nor release such users from copyright infringement, nor grant exclusive use rights with respect to North East Coastal Observatory material.
6. North East Coastal Observatory material is not protected by copyright unless noted (in associated metadata). If copyrighted, permission should be obtained from the copyright owner prior to use. If not copyrighted, North East Coastal Observatory material may be reproduced and distributed without further permission from North East Coastal Observatory.

## Abbreviations and Acronyms

Acronym / Abbreviation	Definition
AONB	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
DGM	Digital Ground Model
HAT	Highest Astronomical Tide
LAT	Lowest Astronomical Tide
MHWN	Mean High Water Neap
MHWS	Mean High Water spring
MLWS	Mean Low Water Neap
MLWS	Mean Low Water spring
m	metres
ODN	Ordnance Datum Newlyn

## Water Levels Used in Interpretation of Changes

Water Level Parameter	Water Level (m AOD)
	Whitley Sands to King Edward's Bay
HAT	3.1
MHWS	2.4
MHWN	1.3
MLWN	-0.8
MLWS	-1.9

Source: UKHO Admiralty Tide Tables, 2020




## Glossary of Terms

Term	Definition
Beach nourishment	Artificial process of replenishing a beach with material from another source.
Berm crest	Ridge of sand or gravel deposited by wave action on the shore just above the normal high water mark.
Breaker zone	Area in the sea where the waves break.
Coastal squeeze	The reduction in habitat area which can arise if the natural landward migration of a habitat under sea level rise is prevented by the fixing of the high water mark, e.g. a sea wall.
Downdrift	Direction of alongshore movement of beach materials.
Ebb-tide	The falling tide, part of the tidal cycle between high water and the next low water.
Fetch	Length of water over which a given wind has blown that determines the size of the waves produced.
Flood-tide	Rising tide, part of the tidal cycle between low water and the next high water.
Foreshore	Zone between the high water and low water marks, also known as the intertidal zone.
Geomorphology	The branch of physical geography/geology which deals with the form of the Earth, the general configuration of its surface, the distribution of the land, water, etc.
Groyne	Shore protection structure built perpendicular to the shore; designed to trap sediment.
Mean High Water (MHW)	The average of all high waters observed over a sufficiently long period.
Mean Low Water (MLW)	The average of all low waters observed over a sufficiently long period.
Mean Sea Level (MSL)	Average height of the sea surface over a 19-year period.
Offshore zone	Extends from the low water mark to a water depth of about 15 m and is permanently covered with water.
Storm surge	A rise in the sea surface on an open coast, resulting from a storm.
Swell	Waves that have travelled out of the area in which they were generated.
Tidal prism	The volume of water within the estuary between the level of high and low tide, typically taken for mean spring tides.
Tide	Periodic rising and falling of large bodies of water resulting from the gravitational attraction of the moon and sun acting on the rotating earth.
Topography	Configuration of a surface including its relief and the position of its natural and man-made features.
Transgression	The landward movement of the shoreline in response to a rise in relative sea level.
Updrift	Direction opposite to the predominant movement of longshore transport.
Wave direction	Direction from which a wave approaches.
Wave refraction	Process by which the direction of approach of a wave changes as it moves into shallow water.

## Preamble

The Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme covers approximately 300km of the north east coastline, from the Scottish Border (just south of St. Abb's Head) to Flamborough Head in East Yorkshire. This coastline is often referred to as 'Coastal Sediment Cell 1' in England and Wales (Figure 1). Within this frontage the coastal landforms vary considerably, comprising low-lying tidal flats with fringing salt marshes, hard rock cliffs that are mantled with glacial sediment to varying thicknesses, softer rock cliffs and extensive landslide complexes.



**Figure 1 Sediment Cells in England and Wales**

The programme commenced in its present guise in September 2008<sup>1</sup> and is managed by Scarborough Borough Council on behalf of the North East Coastal Observatory. It is funded by the Environment Agency, working in partnership with the following organisations:



<sup>1</sup> Prior to 2008, coastal monitoring was undertaken on a consistent basis across Northumberland and North Tyneside as part of the (then) Northumbrian Coastal Authorities Group's monitoring programme which commenced in 2002, whilst several authorities between the River Tyne and Flamborough Head undertook their own local monitoring programmes.

Royal HaskoningDHV has been appointed to provide Analytical Services in relation to the present phase of the Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme, between 2016 - 2027.

The main elements of the Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme involve:

- beach profile surveys
- topographic surveys
- cliff top recession surveys
- real-time wave data collection
- bathymetric and seabed characterisation surveys
- aerial photography
- LiDAR surveys
- walk-over cliff and coastal defence asset surveys

The beach profile surveys, topographic surveys and cliff top recession surveys are undertaken as a 'Full Measures' survey in autumn/early winter every year. Some of these surveys are then repeated the following spring as part of a 'Partial Measures' survey.

Each year, an Analytical Report is produced for each individual authority, providing a detailed analysis and interpretation of the 'Full Measures' surveys. This is followed by a brief Update Report for each individual authority, providing ongoing findings from the 'Partial Measures' surveys.

Annually, a Cell 1 Overview Report is also produced. This provides a region-wide summary of the main findings relating to trends and interactions along the entire Cell 1 frontage.

To date the following reports have been produced:

**Table 1 Analytical, Update and Overview Reports Produced to Date**

Year		Full Measures		Partial Measures		Cell 1 Overview Report
		Survey	Analytical Report	Survey	Update Report	
1	2008/09	Sep-Dec 08	May 09	Mar-May 09	Jun 09	
2	2009/10	Sep-Dec 09	Mar 10	Feb-Mar 10	Jul 10	
3	2010/11	Aug-Nov 10	Feb 11	Feb-Apr 11	Aug 11	Sep 11
4	2011/12	Oct-Nov 11	Oct 12	Mar-May 12	Feb 13	
5	2012/13	Sep-Oct 12	Mar 13	Mar-Apr 13	Jun 13	
6	2013/14	Sep-Oct 13	Feb 14	Mar-Apr 14	Jul 14	
7	2014/15	Oct-Nov 14	Feb 15	Mar 15	Jul 15	
8	2015/16	Oct-Nov 15	Feb 16	Mar 16	Jul 16	Jun 16
9	2016/17	Sep 16	Feb 17	Mar 17	Jul 17	
10	2017/18	Sep-Oct 17	Feb 18	Mar 18	May 18	
11	2018/19	Sep-Oct 18	Jan 19	Feb-Mar 19	Aug 19	
12	2019/20	Sep-Nov 19	Dec 19	Mar 20	Mar 20	
13	2020/21	Sep 20	Nov 20	Mar 21	Apr 21	Aug 21
14	2021/22	Aug 21	Dec 21(*)			

(\*) The present report is **Analytical Report 14** and provides an analysis of the 2021 Full Measures survey for North Tyneside Council's frontage.

In addition, separate reports are produced for other elements of the programme as and when specific components are undertaken, such as wave data collection, bathymetric and seabed sediment data collection, aerial photography, and walk-over visual inspections.

For purposes of analysis, the Cell 1 frontage has been split into the sub-sections listed in the Table 2.

**Table 2 Sub-divisions of the Cell 1 Coastline**

<b>Authority</b>	<b>Zone</b>
Northumberland County Council	Spittal A
	Spittal B
	Goswick Sands
	Holy Island
	Bamburgh
	Beadnell Village
	Beadnell Bay
	Embelton Bay
	Boulmer
	Alnmouth Bay
	High Hauxley and Druridge Bay
	Lynemouth Bay
	Newbiggin Bay
	Cambois Bay
Blyth South Beach	
North Tyneside Council	Whitley Sands
	Cullercoats Bay
	Tynemouth Long Sands
	King Edward's Bay
South Tyneside Council	Littehaven Beach
	Herd Sands
	Trow Quarry (incl. Frenchman's Bay)
	Marsden Bay
Sunderland Council	Whitburn Bay
	Harbour and Docks
	Hendon to Ryhope (incl. Halliwell Banks)
Durham County Council	Featherbed Rocks
	Seaham
	Blast Beach
	Hawthorn Hive
	Blackhall Colliery
Hartlepool Borough Council	North Sands
	Headland
	Middleton
	Hartlepool Bay
Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	Coatham Sands
	Redcar Sands
	Marske Sands
	Saltburn Sands
	Cattersty Sands (Skinningrove)
	Staithes
Scarborough Borough Council	Staithes
	Runswick Bay
	Sandsend Beach, Uppgang Beach and Whitby Sands
	Robin Hood's Bay
	Scarborough North Bay
	Scarborough South Bay
	Cayton Bay
	Filey Bay

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Study Area

North Tyneside Council's frontage extends from Hartley (just south of Blyth) in the north, to the River Tyne in the south. For the purposes of this report and for consistency with previous reporting, it has been sub-divided into four areas, namely:

- Whitley Sands
- Cullercoats Bay
- Tynemouth Long Sands
- King Edward's Bay

### 1.2 Methodology

Along North Tyneside Council's frontage, the following surveying is undertaken:

- Full Measures survey annually each autumn/early winter comprising:
  - Beach profile surveys along eight transect lines (commenced 2002)
  - Beach profile surveys along an additional two transects (commenced 2010)
  - Topographic survey along Whitley Sands (commenced 2010)
  - Topographic survey along Tynemouth Long Sands (commenced 2011)
- Partial Measures survey annually each spring comprising:
  - Beach profile surveys along all ten transect lines (commenced 2010)

The location of these surveys is shown in Figure 1. The beach profiles and topographic surveys were undertaken between the 26<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> August 2021. The weather conditions varied throughout the survey; further details can be found in the surveyor's reports.

All data have been captured in a manner commensurate with the principles of the Environment Agency's *National Standard Contract and Specification for Surveying Services* and stored in a file format compatible with the software systems being used for the data analysis, namely SANDS and ArcGIS. This data collection approach and file format is comparable to that being used on other regional coastal monitoring programmes, such as in the South East and South West of England.



Upon receipt of the data from the survey team, they are quality assured and then uploaded onto the programme's website for storage and availability to others and also input to SANDS and GIS for subsequent analysis.

The Analytical Report is then produced following a standard structure for each authority. This involves:

- description of the changes observed since the previous survey and an interpretation of the drivers of these changes (Section 2);
- documentation of any problems encountered during surveying or uncertainties inherent in the analysis (Section 3);
- recommendations for 'fine-tuning' the programme to enhance its outputs (Section 4); and
- providing key conclusions and highlighting any areas of concern (Section 5).

Data from the present survey are presented in a processed form in the Appendices.





**SURVEY LOCATIONS**

**Topographic Profile**

- Annual (Blue line)
- Bi-Annual (Pink line)

**Topographic Area**

- 6 monthly (Light Green fill)
- yearly (Yellow fill)
- 5 yearly (Light Purple fill)

Client:	Project:
North East Coastal Group	Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme

Title:

**Figure 2 - Map 1**

**WHITLEY SANDS to KING EDWARD'S BAY**

**North Tyneside Council Frontage**

Report:

Survey Report

Revision:	Date:	Drawn:	Checked:	Size:	Scale:
0	n/a	TC	NJC	A4	1:32,000

Co-ordinate system: British National Grid

**Royal HaskoningDHV**  
Enhancing Society Together

**North East Coastal Observatory**

© HaskoningDHV UK Ltd.  
World Imagery: Earthstar Geographics  
GB Cartographic Local Names: Contains OS data ©  
Crown Copyright and database right 2020  
Contains data from OS Zoomstack

## 2. Analysis of Survey Data

### 2.1 Whitley Sands

Survey Date	Description of Changes Since Last Survey	Interpretation
<p><b>26<sup>th</sup> August 2021</b></p>	<p><b>Beach Profiles:</b></p> <p>Whitley Sands is covered by five beach profile lines for the Full Measures survey (Appendix A). Four of these (1aNTDC01 to 1aNTDC04) were initially surveyed in April 2002 and were surveyed annually to 2009 (Full Measures, autumn 2009) and bi-annually thereafter. From March 2010 (Partial Measures, spring 2010) onwards, an additional beach profile line (NTDC04A) has been surveyed at the southern end of the frontage. All profiles were last surveyed in March 2021 for the Partial Measures survey.</p> <p><b>1aNTDC01</b> is located in the north of Whitley Sands, along the undefended cliffs immediately south of Trinity Road car park. The cliff was not measured due to dangerous access. There has been accretion of up to 0.5m of material at the toe of the cliff between chainage 30m and 65m. Across the remainder of the beach, from chainage 30m to the end of the survey at chainage 206m there has been erosion of up to 1.5m on the middle beach (removing a berm) and up to 0.1m on the lower beach, exposing rocks seaward of chainage 144m. Overall the upper beach profile is at a medium level, whilst the mid and lower beach profile is at a low level when compared to the range recorded from previous surveys.</p> <p>Profile <b>1aNTDC02</b> is located in the northern part of Whitley Sands. A seawall is present at the back of the beach. On the upper beach, between the seawall at chainage 50m and chainage 125m there has been accretion of up to 1.2m. From chainage 125m to the end of the survey at chainage 175m there has been erosion of a lower beach berm of up to 1.2m. The removal of this material has exposed the rocky foreshore seaward of chainage 130m. The profile is generally at a high level on the upper beach, a medium level on the middle beach and a low level on the lower beach when compared with the range recorded from previous surveys.</p> <p>Profile <b>1aNTDC03</b> is located at the centre of Whitley Sands. Accretion of up to 1.0m has occurred between the seawall and chainage 78m. The lower beach seaward of chainage 78m has eroded by up to 1.2m. A rock outcrop at chainage 90m is exposed as in previous surveys. The profile is at a</p>	<p>Since the last survey, there has generally been accretion on the upper and middle beach, and erosion on the lower beach, except in the south of the bay where accretion has occurred across the beach profile. The trend indicates a landward movement of material, which is characteristic of summertime conditions.</p> <p><b>Longer term trends:</b> Beach levels are generally at a medium-high range compared to earlier surveys.</p>

Survey Date	Description of Changes Since Last Survey	Interpretation
	<p>medium-high level the upper and middle beach, whilst the lower -beach is at a low level compared to the range recorded from previous surveys.</p> <p>Profile <b>1aNTDC04</b> is located in the southern part of Whitley Sands. There has been relatively little change between the seawall and chainage 30m. The middle beach between chainages 30m and 100m has accreted by up to 0.6m, covering a previously exposed rock patch at chainage 65m. The lower beach seaward of chainage 100m has eroded by up to 0.9m. Overall, the beach is at a medium level compared with the range recorded in previous surveys.</p> <p>Profile <b>1aNTDC04a</b> is located towards the southern end of Whitley Sands. There has been accretion across the entire beach profile, by up to 1.2m on the upper beach, 0.9m on the middle beach and 0.2m on the lower beach. Overall, the profile is at a high level on the upper and middle beach, and a medium level on the lower beach compared to the range recorded from previous surveys.</p>	
<p><b>26<sup>th</sup> August 2021</b></p>	<p><b>Topographic Survey:</b></p> <p>Whitley Sands is covered by an annual topographic survey, which commenced in October 2010.</p> <p>Data from the most recent topographic survey (Full Measures, autumn 2021) have been used to create a digital ground model (DGM) (Appendix B – Map 1) using a GIS. A difference plot has also been produced using the DGM (Appendix B – Map 3) produced from the last produced topographic survey (Full Measures, autumn 2020) and the present survey.</p> <p>The difference plot shows that large parts of the north and centre of the bay have undergone little change (<math>\pm 0.1\text{m}</math>). Accretion is primarily found on the upper and middle beach of the north-central portion of the bay, whilst erosion is found on the middle beach in the central bay. Towards the south of the bay, changes are more pronounced with a wider band of deeper erosion on the lower beach and a band of greater accretion across the upper beach. The magnitude of change is generally less in the north and central areas of the bay. This is a comparison of annual surveys, rather than a biannual comparison like the beach profiles and therefore explains why the patterns do not match with those described above, which generally show accretion on the upper beach and erosion on the lower beach, except in the south where accretion has occurred across the profile.</p>	<p>The most recent topographic survey difference plot shows a mixture of erosion and accretion, however the most dominant trend across the bay is little change in beach level (<math>\pm 0.1\text{m}</math>).</p>



## 2.2 Cullercoats Bay

Survey Date	Description of Changes Since Last Survey	Interpretation
<p><b>26th – 27th August 2021</b></p>	<p><b>Beach Profiles:</b></p> <p>Cullercoats Bay is covered by one beach profile line for the Full Measures survey (Appendix A). This was surveyed annually each autumn between 2002 and 2009. From spring 2010 onwards, it has been surveyed bi-annually.</p> <p>At profile <b>1aNTDC05</b>, the survey report notes that the cliff was not measured due to dangerous access. There has been variable change across the beach profile. Erosion occurs on the upper-middle beach and the lower beach by up to 0.3m. Accretion occurs at the toe of the cliff and on the middle beach by up to 0.4m. The August 2021 profile is generally at a medium level, except on the upper beach where it is at a high level compared to the range recorded from previous surveys.</p>	<p>As in previous surveys access to the cliff has not been possible.</p> <p>The data shows only limited change related to short-term patterns of sediment movement.</p> <p><b>Longer term trends:</b> The amount of change is within the past range. Much of the profile is at medium level compared with previous surveys.</p>

## 2.3 Tynemouth Long Sands

Survey Date	Description of Changes Since Last Survey	Interpretation
27th August 2021	<p><b>Beach Profiles:</b></p> <p>Tynemouth Long Sands is covered by three beach profile lines for the Full Measures survey (Appendix A). Profiles 1aNTDC06 and 1aNTDC07 were initially surveyed annually each autumn between 2002 and 2009. A third profile, 1aNTDC06A, was then added in the centre of the frontage. From spring 2010 (Partial Measures) onwards, all profiles have been surveyed bi-annually.</p> <p>Profile <b>1aNTDC06</b> is located approximately 150m south of the access ramp towards the north of the bay. The top of the cliff has not changed since the last survey however, the remainder of the cliff profile cannot be reviewed due to lack of data points in the profile plot as the survey report notes '<i>no access to middle of section 6 due to seed protection fences</i>'. The beach profile starts at c.35m chainage and shows accretion of up to 0.3 to chainage 44m, increasing to 1.3m between chainages 30m and 136m, forming a berm at chainage 70m. The lower beach between chainages 136m and 206m has eroded by up to 1.2m, removing a lower beach berm. At the end of the survey, seaward of chainage 206m, the beach profile has increased by up to 0.5m. Overall, the upper and middle beach is at a high level compared with the range from previous surveys, particularly between chainages 58-84m where the upper beach berm has reached its highest level recorded. The lower beach is at a low level, particularly between chainages 138-164m where the beach is at its lowest level recorded.</p> <p>At profile <b>1aNTDC06A</b>, the dune-cliff face has not significantly changed in form or position. As with section 6, the survey report notes '<i>no access to middle of section 6a due to seed protection fences</i>'. There has generally been accretion across the beach profile, by up to 0.6m on the upper and middle beach, and 0.3 on the lower beach. Small sections of erosion occur throughout the profile, however these are generally less than 0.1m. Overall, the autumn 2021 profile is at a high level compared to the range recorded from the previous surveys, particularly between chainages 94-106m and 154-190m which are at their highest levels recorded.</p> <p>Profile <b>1aNTDC07</b> is located approximately 50m south of the access route through the dunes towards the southern end of the bay. The dune-cliff has not significantly changed in form or position since the last survey. As with section 6 and 6a, the survey report notes '<i>no access to middle of section 7 due to seed protection fences</i>'. There has been variable erosion and accretion across the beach profile,</p>	<p>Since the last survey the dunes have retained the same form and position.</p> <p>The profiles in the centre and south of Long Sands show variable erosion and accretion, with no clear trend. The northern profile shows a similar trend to Whitley Sands, with movement of material onshore.</p> <p>Several sections have reached their highest (profile 1aNTDC06 (58-84m) and 1aNTDC06A (94-106m and 154-190m)) and lowest (profile 1aNTDC06A (138-164m)) levels recorded.</p> <p><b>Longer term trends:</b> Overall, the beaches have retained a similar form and are in the medium-high range of previous surveys, with the exception of the lower beach at profile 1aNTDC06 which is at its lowest level since records began in 2002.</p>

Survey Date	Description of Changes Since Last Survey	Interpretation
	<p>however this is limited to <math>\pm 0.1\text{m}</math>. Overall the autumn 2021 profile is at a medium to high level compared to the range recorded from the previous surveys.</p>	
<p>27<sup>th</sup> August 2021</p>	<p><b>Topographic Survey:</b></p> <p>The first survey was undertaken for the Full Measures survey in October 2010.</p> <p>Data from the current topographic survey have been used to create a digital ground model (DGM) (Appendix B – Map 2) using a Geographical Information System (GIS). A difference plot has also been produced by comparing the current DGM (Appendix B – Map 4) with that produced from the last topographic survey in March 2021.</p> <p>The south of the bay is dominated by little change (<math>\pm 0.1\text{m}</math>) since the previous survey across the majority of the profile. Accretion is mainly found on the upper beach in the northern half of the bay, the middle beach of the central bay, and small patches on the lower beach of the southern bay. Erosion is concentrated on the lower beach in the northern half of the bay and partially in the middle beach of the central bay. The area close to the rocky foreshore in the south displays patchy erosion and accretion as it has done in previous surveys. The magnitude of change is relatively small for both accretion and erosion in the south of the bay (<math>\pm 0.75\text{m}</math>) but is of larger magnitude in the north and central bay (<math>\pm 1.75\text{m}</math>).</p>	<p>The pattern shown in the difference plot supports the patterns identified in the profiles, with shore parallel bands of erosion and accretion exhibiting berm movement.</p>

## 2.4 King Edward's Bay

Survey Date	Description of Changes Since Last Survey	Interpretation
<p><b>26th – 27<sup>th</sup> August 2021</b></p>	<p><b>Beach Profiles:</b></p> <p>King Edward's Bay is monitored by one beach profile line for the Full Measures survey (Appendix A). This was surveyed annually between 2002 and 2009. From spring 2010 onwards, it has been surveyed bi-annually.</p> <p>At profile <b>1aNTDC08</b>, there has been minimal change on the upper beach to chainage 25m (&lt;0.1m). There has been accretion by up to 1.3m between chainage 25-115m, forming a large berm at chainage 47m. Seaward of chainage 115m the lower beach has eroded by up to 1.1m, resulting in the removal of a lower beach berm. The beach profile is at a medium-high level on the upper and middle beach and a low level on the lower beach when compared with the range recorded from previous surveys.</p>	<p>Since the last survey, the upper beach at King Edward's Bay has experienced movement of sediment in a landward direction.</p> <p><b>Longer term trends:</b> The profile is at a medium-high level on the upper and middle beach, and a low level on the lower beach compared with the range of previously observed surveys at this location. Changes between the spring 2021 and autumn 2021 survey show a seasonal movement of sediment across the beach in the form of a berm.</p>

### **3. Problems Encountered and Uncertainty in Analysis**

#### **Individual Profiles**

- At profile 1aNTDC01 the cliff was not measured due to access problems.
- At profile 1aNTDC05 the cliff was not measured due to access problems. Access to this profile is noted to have been dangerous in previous Partial Measures and Full Measures reports, and it is recommended that the beach profile should start at the cliff toe and that the cliff be monitored using the aerial survey data.
- At Tynemouth Long Sands (profiles 1aNTDC06, 1aNTDC06A and 1aNTDC07) there was no access to the dunes in the middle of the profile due to seed protection fences. This means it has not yet been possible to monitor the effectiveness of the dune stabilisation scheme.

### **4. Recommendations for 'Fine-tuning' the Monitoring Programme**

It is recommended that the beach profile at 1aNTDC05 in Cullercoats Bay should start at the cliff toe and that the cliff be monitored using the aerial survey data.

It is recommended that access to the stabilised dunes at Tynemouth Long Sands be attempted in future surveys to monitor the effectiveness of the stabilisation fences.

### **5. Conclusions and Areas of Concern**

- At Whitley Sands there has generally been accretion on the upper and middle beach, and erosion on the lower beach, except in the south of the bay where accretion has occurred across the beach profile. For the most part, the beach is at a medium-high level in the range recorded from previous surveys. The topographic survey does not exhibit a clear pattern of sediment movement between the two surveys at Whitley Sands and is dominated by a mixture of low-level accretion and erosion across the bay. Increased erosion on the lower beach in the southern half of the bay indicates a potential movement of material northwards.
- At Cullercoats Bay, at profile 1aNTDC05, there has been variable change across the beach profile, limited to  $\pm 0.4$ m. The results fall within the range of previously recorded results and there are no causes for concern.
- At Tynemouth Long Sands, the majority of recorded profiles are within the previously recorded range with berm building processes dominating. Several sections are at their highest levels recorded since 2002 (58m-84m at profile NTDC06, and 94m-106m & 154m-190m at profile 1aNTDC06A). The profiles present no cause for concern. The topographic survey results demonstrate a low level of accretion and erosion in the south of the bay, with changes more pronounced in the northern half of the bay.
- At King Edward's Bay, there appears to have been a landward movement of sediment in the form of a berm. There are no causes for concern and the results fall within the range recorded in previous surveys.

## **Appendices**

**Appendix A**  
**Beach Profiles**

The following sediment feature codes are used on some profile plots:

<b>Code</b>	<b>Description</b>
S	Sand
M	Mud
G	Gravel
GS	Gravel & Sand
MS	Mud & Sand
B	Boulders
R	Rock
SD	Sea Defence
SM	Saltmarsh
W	Water Body
GM	Gravel & Mud
GR	Grass
D	Dune (non-vegetated)
DV	Dune (vegetated)
F	Forested
X	Mixture
FB	Obstruction
CT	Cliff Top
CE	Cliff Edge
CF	Cliff Face
SH	Shell
ZZ	Unknown



# Beach Profile

Location: 1aNTDC01

Date: 26/08/2021

Inspector: AG

Low Tide:

Low Tide Time:

Wind


Sea State:

Visibility:

Rain:

Summary: 2021 Full Measures Topo Survey

Easting: 434851.079 Northing: 574565.379 Profile Bearing: 99 ° from North



# Beach Profile

Location: 1aNTDC02

Date: 26/08/2021 Inspector: AG


Wind Sea State:

Low Tide: Low Tide Time:

Visibility: Rain:

Summary: 2021 Full Measures Topo Survey

Easting: 435030.395 Northing: 573704.317 Profile Bearing: 76 ° from North



# Beach Profile

Location: 1aNTDC03

Date: 26/08/2021

Inspector: AG

Low Tide:

Low Tide Time:

Wind


Sea State:

Visibility:

Rain:

Summary: 2021 Full Measures Topo Survey

Easting: 435270.865 Northing: 573151.795 Profile Bearing: 70 ° from North



# Beach Profile

Location: 1aNTDC04

Date: 26/08/2021 Inspector: AG


Low Tide: Low Tide Time:

Wind Sea State:

Visibility: Rain:

Summary: 2021 Full Measures Topo Survey

Easting: 435490.594 Northing: 572746.234 Profile Bearing: 60 ° from North





# Beach Profile

Location: 1aNTDC04A

Date: 26/08/2021 Inspector: AG

Low Tide:

Low Tide Time:

Wind


Sea State:

Visibility:

Rain:

Summary: 2021 Full Measures Topo Survey

Easting: 435645.554 Northing: 572557.615 Profile Bearing: 46 ° from North



# Beach Profile

Location: 1aNTDC05

Date: 27/08/2021

Inspector: AG

Low Tide:

Low Tide Time:

Wind


Sea State:

Visibility:

Rain:

Summary: 2021 Full Measures Topo Survey

Easting: 436365.005 Northing: 571217.518 Profile Bearing: 77 ° from North





# Beach Profile

Location: 1aNTDC06A

Date: 27/08/2021 Inspector: AG


Low Tide: Low Tide Time:

Wind Sea State:

Visibility: Rain:

Summary: 2021 Full Measures Topo Survey

Easting: 436620.512 Northing: 570317.533 Profile Bearing: 65 ° from North





# Beach Profile

Location: 1aNTDC07

Date: 27/08/2021

Inspector: AG

Low Tide:

Low Tide Time:

Wind


Sea State:

Visibility:

Rain:

Summary: 2021 Full Measures Topo Survey

Easting: 436742.221 Northing: 570082.97 Profile Bearing: 74 ° from North



# Beach Profile

Location: 1aNTDC08

Date: 27/08/2021

Inspector: AG

Low Tide:

Low Tide Time:

Wind


Sea State:

Visibility:


Rain:

Summary: 2021 Full Measures Topo Survey

Easting: 437142.187 Northing: 569510.828 Profile Bearing: 67 ° from North




Profiles: 1aNTDC01




Profile Envelope  2002-05  2020-09  2021-03  2021-08

Profiles: 1aNTDC02







Profiles: 1aNTDC03



Profiles: 1aNTDC04




Profiles: 1aNTDC04A




Profile Envelope  2010-03  2020-09  2021-03  2021-08

Profiles: 1aNTDC05






Profiles: 1aNTDC06




Profiles: 1aNTDC06A




Profile Envelope  2010-03  2020-09  2021-03  2021-08

Profiles: 1aNTDC07




Profiles: 1aNTDC08




**Appendix B**  
**Topographic Survey**





**TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY (August 2021)**



Client:	North East Coastal Group	Project:	Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme
---------	--------------------------	----------	--

**Title:**

**Appendix B - Map 1**

**WHITLEY SANDS**

**North Tyneside Council Frontage**

**Report:**

Analytical Report  
'Full Measures' Survey 2021

Revision:	Date:	Drawn:	Checked:	Size:	Scale:
0	01/11/2021	TC	NJC	A3	1:8,000

Co-ordinate system: British National Grid



© HaskoningDHV UK Ltd.  
 World Imagery: Maxar, Microsoft  
 Hybrid Reference Layer: Esri Community Maps Contributors, Esri UK, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, METI/NASA, USGS  
 OpenStreetMap: Map data © OpenStreetMap Contributors, Microsoft, Esri Community Maps contributors, Map layer by Esri





**TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY (August 2021)**

**Elevation (mOD)**

- 8.1 - 8.5
- 7.6 - 8
- 7.1 - 7.5
- 6.6 - 7
- 6.1 - 6.5
- 5.6 - 6
- 5.1 - 5.5
- 4.6 - 5
- 4.1 - 4.5
- 3.6 - 4
- 3.1 - 3.5
- 2.6 - 3
- 2.1 - 2.5
- 1.6 - 2
- 1.1 - 1.5
- 0.6 - 1
- 0.1 - 0.5
- 0.4 - 0
- 0.9 - -0.5
- 1.4 - -1
- 1.7 - -1.5

**Contours (mOD)\***

- 1.0m interval
- 0.25m interval

\* Contours only cover sandy beach areas.

Client:	Project:
North East Coastal Group	Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme

**Title:**

**Appendix B - Map 2**

**LONGSANDS**

**North Tyneside Council Frontage**

**Report:**

Analytical Report  
'Full Measures' Survey 2021



Revision:	Date:	Drawn:	Checked:	Size:	Scale:
0	01/11/2021	TC	NJC	A3	1:5,000

Co-ordinate system: British National Grid



© HaskoningDHV UK Ltd.  
 World Imagery: Maxar, Microsoft  
 Hybrid Reference Layer: Esri Community Maps Contributors, Esri UK, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, MET/NASA, USGS  
 OpenStreetMap, Map data © OpenStreetMap contributors, Microsoft, Esri Community Maps contributors, Map layer by Esri





Client:	North East Coastal Group	Project:	Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme
---------	--------------------------	----------	--

Title:

**Appendix B - Map 3**  
**WHITLEY SANDS**  
**North Tyneside Council Frontage**

Report: Analytical Report  
'Full Measures' Survey 2021


Revision:	Date:	Drawn:	Checked:	Size:	Scale:
0	01/11/2021	TC	NJC	A3	1:8,000

Co-ordinate system: British National Grid



© HaskoningDHV UK Ltd.  
World Imagery: Maxar, Microsoft  
Hybrid Reference Layer: Esri Community Maps Contributors, Esri UK, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, METI/NASA, USGS  
OpenStreetMap: Map data © OpenStreetMap Contributors, Microsoft, Esri Community Maps contributors, Map layer by Esri





Client:	Project:
North East Coastal Group	Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme

Title:

**Appendix B - Map 4**

**LONGSANDS**

**North Tyneside Council Frontage**

Report:

Analytical Report  
'Full Measures' Survey 2021

Revision:	Date:	Drawn:	Checked:	Size:	Scale:
0	01/11/2021	TC	NJC	A3	1:5,000

Co-ordinate system: British National Grid



© HaskoningDHV UK Ltd.  
World Imagery: Maxar, Microsoft  
Hybrid Reference Layer: Esri UK, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, MET/NASA, USGS  
OpenStreetMap, Map data © OpenStreetMap contributors, Microsoft, Esri Community Maps contributors, Map layer by Esri

437000

437000

571000

570000

571000

570000